

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:	)	
	)	
Tomi JARVINEN et al.	)	Group Art Unit: 1626
	)	
Application No.: 10/537,622	)	Examiner: Laura L. STOCKTON
	)	
Filed: March 29, 2006	)	
	)	
For: IMIDAZOL DERIVATIVES HAVING	)	Confirmation No.: 8385
AFFINITY FOR ALPHA 2	)	
RECEPTORS ACTIVITY	)	

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 713.04, Applicants provide a substance of the interview held with Examiner Stockton and Applicants' representatives on February 2, 2010.

During that interview, the rejections of the claims were discussed, including the obviousness-type double patenting and obviousness rejections. In particular, Applicants' representatives continued to argue that the Office had failed to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness.


The Examiner maintained the Office's rejections. As a result, a Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review and Notice of Appeal are being filed concurrently herewith.

Please grant any additional extensions of time required to enter the attached reply and charge any additional required fees to Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: February 17, 2010

By:   
Erin M. Sommers  
Reg. No. 60.974  
(202) 408-4000